

TABLE 62.35-50—MINIMUM SYSTEM MONITORING AND SAFETY CONTROL REQUIREMENTS FOR SPECIFIC SYSTEMS (NOTE 1)—Continued

System	Service	Instrumentation	Alarm	Safety control	Notes
Redundant auxiliary, system, power supply.	Status	Auto transfer.		

¹ See the ABS Steel Vessel Rules (incorporated by reference; see 46 CFR 62.05-1) Part 4-9-4, tables 7A and 8.

² See ABS Steel Vessel Rules Part 4-9-4, tables 7A and 8.

³ See § 113.37 of this chapter.

⁴ See subparts 111.33 and 111.35 of this chapter.

⁵ See subparts 112.45 and 112.50 of this chapter.

⁶ See § 111.12-1(c) of this chapter.

⁷ See § 111.12-1 (b), (c) of this chapter.

⁸ See ABS Steel Vessel Rules Part 4-9-4, Table 8; and 46 CFR 58.10-15(f).

⁹ See ABS Steel Vessel Rules Part 4-9-4, tables 7A and 8.

NOTES ON TABLE 62.35-50:

1. The monitoring and controls listed in this table are applicable if the system listed is provided or required.

2. Safety limit controls must be provided in navigating bridge primary propulsion control systems. See § 62.35-5(c).

3. Safety trip controls and alarms must be provided for all main boilers, regardless of mode of operation. See § 62.35-20(a).

4. Loss of forced lubrication safety trip controls must be provided, as applicable.

5. Override of overspeed and loss of forced lubrication pressure safety trip controls must not be provided. See § 62.35-5(e)(2).

6. Transfer interlocks must be provided.

7. Semiconductor controlled rectifiers must have current limit controls.

8. Interlocks must be provided. See § 62.25-5(a).

9. Main and remote control stations, including the navigational bridge, must provide visual and audible alarms in the event of a fire in the main machinery space.

10. See § 62.50-20(b)(1).

11. Alarms and controls must be failsafe. See § 62.30-1.

12. Vital auxiliary boilers only. Also see part 63.

[CGD 81-030, 53 FR 17838, May 18, 1988; 53 FR 19090, May 26, 1988, as amended by USCG-2000-7790, 65 FR 58461, Sept. 29, 2000; USCG-2003-16630, 73 FR 65190, Oct. 31, 2008]

Subpart 62.50—Automated Self-propelled Vessel Manning

§ 62.50-1 General.

(a) Where automated systems are provided to replace specific personnel in the control and observation of the engineering plant and spaces, or reduce overall crew requirements, the arrangements must make sure that under all sailing conditions, including maneuvering, the safety of the vessel is equal to that of the same vessel with

the entire plant under fully attended direct manual supervision.

(b) Coast Guard acceptance of automated systems to replace specific personnel or to reduce overall crew requirements is predicated upon—

(1) The capabilities of the automated systems;

(2) The combination of the personnel, equipment, and systems necessary to ensure the safety of the vessel, personnel, and environment in all sailing conditions, including maneuvering;

(3) The ability of the crew to perform all operational evolutions, including emergencies such as fire or control or monitoring system failure;

(4) A planned maintenance program including routine maintenance, inspection, and testing to ensure the continued safe operation of the vessel; and

(5) The automated system's demonstrated reliability during an initial trial period, and its continuing reliability.

NOTE: The cognizant Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection, (OCMI) also determines the need for more or less equipment depending on the vessel characteristics, route, or trade.

(c) Equipment provided to replace specific personnel or to reduce overall crew requirements that proves unsafe or unreliable in the judgment of the cognizant Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection, must be immediately replaced or repaired or vessel manning will be modified to compensate for the equipment inadequacy.

§ 62.50-20 Additional requirements for minimally attended machinery plants.

NOTE: Minimally attended machinery plants include vessel machinery plants and